## United States District Court EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Paul	Martiner	Marrela
7	()	- 4 30

Case Number:

18-445M

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act. 18 U.S.C. §3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

require the determion of the determant pending that in this same.			
Part I - Findings of Fact			
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and	has been convicted of a (federal offense)		
(State or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed)			
that is	,		
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. §3156(a)(4).			
an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death			
an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or mo	ore is prescribed in		
a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two	or more prior federal offense described in		
18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.	·		
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was or	release pending trial for a federal, state or local		
offense.			
(3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(	release of the defendant from imprisonment)		
for the offense described in finding (1).			
(4) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding Nos.(1)	(2) and (3) that no condition or combination of		
conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community.			
A 44			
Alternative Findings (A)			
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offens			
for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is pre	scribed in 21 0.3.c. g		
under 18 U.S.C. §924(c).  (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding (1) that	no condition or combination of conditions		
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding (1) that will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the sa			
will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the se	nety of the community.		
Alternative Findings (B)			
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.			
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another p	person or the community.		
Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for	Datantian		
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establish			
convincing evidence that no conditions will reasonably assure defendant's appearance/th	a safaty of the community because		
defendant lacks substantial ties to the community.	e sajety of the community occase		
defendant tacks substantial ites to the communitydefendant is not a U.S. citizen and an illegal alien.			
defendant has no stable history of employment.			
but leave is granted to reopen and present a bail package in the future.			
defendant's family resides primarily in			
Dona III Discotione Describe Determine	<b>A</b> *		
Part III - Directions Regarding Deten The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designate	tion		
facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or	heing held in custody pending appeal. The defendant		
shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel.	On order of a court of the United States or on request		
of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall d			
the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	onto the detendant to the Office Grates maistal for		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Dated: 5,20 5			
Brooklyn, New York			
UNIT	ED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		